

Please add claims 6 - 8.

54B
B1
AC

6. A web page comprising:

an embedded program launched when the web page is read, the embedded program configured to determine the current display resolution for a display used to display the web page.

7. The web page of claim 6 where the embedded program also determines the physical size of the display.

3. 8. The web page of claim 7^{so 2} further comprising:

at least one image to be displayed by the web page, the image having a desired physical size, whereby the image is displayed at the desired physical size of the image by scaling the image to compensate for the current display resolution and the physical size of the display.

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 5 have been rejected. Claims 2 - 5 have been canceled. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. (US patent number 6,310,601) in view of Kita (5,172,103). The prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974). Claim 1 has been amended to include the requirement of "embedding a program in the web page that, when launched, determines the physical size of the display and the current resolution of the display". Neither Moore nor Kita teach embedding a program in a web page that, when launched, determines the physical size of the display and the current resolution of the display. In Kita the system is a closed local system where the application has access to the current display resolution and the size of the

display is predetermined. In the current application the web page may be displayed on any system connected to the Internet. There are a large variation in display sizes and display resolutions of systems connected to the Internet. The current invention allows the web page to be displayed properly on the different size and resolution displays.

Claim 6 also has the limitation of "an embedded program launched when the web page is read, the embedded program configured to determine the current display resolution for a display used to display the web page". Therefore the argument for claim 1 applies to claim 6 and claim 6 is allowable as written.

This application is considered to be in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Steven L. Webb

Reg. Number 44,395

November 6, 2002
Fort Collins, CO 80525
(970) 898-7745

Version with marking to show changes made

1. (Amended once) A method of displaying an image [embedded] in a web page,

comprising the steps of:

embedding a program in the web page that, when launched, determines

[determining] the physical size of the display and the current resolution of the display;

determining the desired physical size of the image to be displayed;

displaying the image at the desired physical size.